Reall. Martingales, stopping times.

IE[Xext] Tt] = Xt At time T, you know the scopping time

's reached.

ey. (k-eh) heethy time

Motivation: Play a game $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$: amount of money

T: stopping time chosen by gambler

XT: amount of money at the end.

Question $\mathbb{F}[X_T] \xrightarrow{} \mathbb{F}[X_0]$ Counter-example. $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ SRW on \mathbb{Z} , and $T:=\inf\{n\colon X_n=5\}$

Lemma: If $\{X_n\}_{n>0}$ is a martingale, and T stopping time, and $T \in M$ (w.p. 1)

Then $F[X_T] = F[X_0]$. Much tronger than $T(x_0) = 2^{-n}$.

e.g. Greenette nv. $F(T \ge n) = 2^{-n}$.

Cannot touke
$$M \ge +\infty$$

Require $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |X_k - X_{k+1}| \cdot I_{T} \ge k + \infty$

Then (optland stopping)

[Xn | \tau \geq 0 \, \text{ in artingale}, and \tau \text{ stopping time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ is martingale}, \text{ and } \text{ The pring time, if } \text{ [Xn | \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ in } \geq 0 \, \text{ in } \text{ in }

(3) [XT] (+00.

(i) Kenty that \
(ii) Kenty (iii) (iii) F[XnIT>n] =0.

[iii) Kenty (iii) that \
[iii] Kenty (ii

Then
$$\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0].$$

Proof:
$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $S_m := mm(T, m)$. Propping thme.

By Lemma,

$$(\forall m) \qquad \mathbb{E} \left[X_{S_m} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[X_0 \right].$$

$$X_{S_m} = X_T \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T \le m} + X_m \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m}$$

$$X_T = X_T \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T \le m} + X_T \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{rror} = \mathbb{E} \left[X_T \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[X_m \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m} \right].$$

Sufflies to show $\mathbb{E} \left[X_T \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m} \right] \to 0$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[X_m \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m} \right] \to 0$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[X_T \cdot \mathbb{I}_{T > m} \right] \to 0.$$

$$0 \in |E[X_T] - |E[X_S_m]| \leq |E_{mon}| \longrightarrow 0$$

$$= |E[X_T] - |E[X_S]|$$

$$So |E[X_T] - |E[X_S]|$$

Corolling If [Xnfn 30 Satisfies P(Tcta)=1

IM Cta | XnIn E | M a.s., then OST holds

Determinate

(2) | XT | E | Xn a.s. So [E | XT | Ctao

(2) | Mm [E | Xn IT > n]

Note of the line of the course P(T(+ao)=1)